

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/620,956	Applicant(s) RHODES ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel P Stephenson	Art Unit 3672	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Daniel P Stephenson (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Alan R. Thiele (attorney for applicant).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 November 2004
Time: 12:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related to the applicant that claim 19 had been omitted from the last office action. The other claims presented in the amendment were in condition for allowance, and either a notice of non-compliance could be mailed out or to further prosecution of the case an examiner's amendment cancelling claim 19 could be done, and the case passed to issue. Applicant agreed to cancel claim 19 through examiner's amendment. .